CONCERN

1. Law enforcement agencies operate mainly under administrative procedures to control information requiring protection. Consequently, most LEA personnel handle little if any classified information and are not indoctrinated as to the need for proper handling and protection.

RECOMMENDATION

1. The law enforcement agencies with Intelligence Community support and assistance, training programs to indoctrinate personnel on the sensitivities of intelligence sources and methods, particularly when cleared for SCI, and instruct as to properly identifying, handling, and using classified intelligence to assure protection.



CONCERN

2. Present communication and data systems within the law enforcement agencies are not secure and cannot accommodate any classified intelligence unless major physical security improvements are made.

RECOMMENDATION

2. The Intelligence Community support the major physical security measures being taken or planned by LEAs to securely disseminate and store classified information.





CONCERN

3. There is a proliferation of requests for SCI clearances for law enforcement personnel, including prosecutors, which fail to indicate any impelling "need to know." The requests indicate a lack of understanding as to the nature and sensitivities of the information being requested.


RECOMMENDATION

3. Requests for SCI clearances for law enforcement personnel, through whatever channels, must be carefully reviewed and strictly evaluated as "need to know." Any such clearances issued are to be carefully monitored under appropriate procedures to assure the existence of a continuous need.



CONCERN

4. Law enforcement agencies may utilize classified intelligence in their operations in such a manner as to jeopardize intelligence sources and methods.



RECOMMENDATION

4. a. Intelligence Community agencies should disseminate intelligence at the lowest level of classification necessary to meet law enforcement requirements.

25**X**1

- b. Sensitive sources and methods should not be disclosed except when absolutely necessary and only within established channels.
- c. Guidelines for sanitization and other procedures for dissemination and use of intelligence be issued for Intelligence Community agencies to process LEA requirements.
- d. A representative of the Intelligence
 Community be assigned to joint law enforcement
 /intelligence facilities, such as NNBIS, to
 assure the proper utilization of intelligence in
 accordance with security requirements. Such



RECOMMENDATION (cont.)

assignment to be dependent upon the volume of intelligence disseminated and utilized. The intelligence representative is best drawn from the agency of primary interest and can represent other intelligence agencies as appropriate.





CONCERN

5.

stitutes "wholly law enforcement" information and what law enforcement agencies may or may not classify. This raises concerns in those instances where Intelligence Community interests are affected and classification would provide added protection both to the law enforcement and intelligence agencies.

RECOMMENDATION

5. The Intelligence Community

promote a better understanding and proper utilization of the classification system by law enforcement agencies. Such support serves the interests of both the intelligence and law enforcement agencies by identifying those matters of national interest which require protection.


25X1[1





DCI/ICS 83-3909 29 November 1983

	Information by Drug Enforcement Agencies	
FROM:		25
SUBJECT:	I. Corrected Notes of the 18 November 1983 Panel Meeting II. Results of Discussions with LEA Representatives After the Meeting	
I. Corrected No	otes of the 18 November 1983 Panel Meeting:	
A. The Par Building. A li	nel met from 1000 to 1200 on 18 November, in Room 6N05, st of attendees is attached.	25
of Intelligence the protection in the Narcotic enforcement age	welcomed the law enforcement representatives as members of explained that the membership to date has been comprised only Community representatives to first discuss their concerns for of intelligence and intelligence sources and methods as raised as Working Group meetings and then posing them to the law encies. He noted that the Working Paper circulated to the law presentatives reflected those concerns and was intended to	25
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	25
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	25
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	25
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	25
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	25
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	25
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	25
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	25
solicit comment	s for discussion at the meeting.	25



SECRET

25X1

CIPC Narcotics Working Group's Panel on the Use of Classified Intelligence Information by Drug Enforcement Agencies

LIST OF ATTENDEES
18 November 1983

	18 November 1983	05)/4
	CIPC	25 X 1
	CIPC	
	CIPC	
Richard Bly	DEA	
Philip Ahrens	DEA	
	NSA	25 X 1
Chuck Trombetta	Justice	
Richard Strobel	Customs	
	DIA	25 X 1
CMDR James Kenney	US Coast Guard	
LCMDR Mark O'Hara	US Coast Guard	
	CIA	25 X 1
	SIGINT Committee	
	SIGINT Committee	
	CIA/General Counsel	

25X1

SECRET

25X1

SUBJECT: Notes of the 18 November 1983 Meeting

Distribution: DCI/ICS 83-3909

1 - each Panel member

1 - CIPC/ES

1 - CIPC/Subj

1 - CIPC/Chrono

1 - ICS Registry

DCI/ICS/CIPC___

(29 November 1983)

25X1

/